

REVIEWED BY *BBB/ks* DATE *2/10/88*  
 ( ) LULU ( ) DECISION  
 ( ) EXCISE ( ) ALLEGATIONS in PAINT  
 ( ) DELAY ( ) ACTION-RESPONSE in PAINT  
 FOI, EO or PA exceptions *all*

TS authority to: OADR  
 ( ) CLASSIFY as  
 ( ) DOWNGRADE TS to ( ) S or ( ) C, OADR

CONFIDENTIAL OADR

~~TOP SECRET SENSITIVE~~

## III

## UN ASPECTS OF CUBAN SITUATION

1. Nature of US Political Proposal in SC;
2. Observations on Military Action;
3. Action short of Military Action

1. The type of political proposal which would strike

our military steps, and possible set in chain events that would "solve" the Cuban problem would be: (a) a proposal that Cuba be "neutralized", with a neutral government, all foreign bases and installations withdrawn, types of weapons limited, etc.; (b) that large scale UN ~~the~~ observer force be put into Cuba to guarantee this; and (c) call for ending of any military action in terms acceptable to us. Some further guarantees along the Laos line might be possible. If neutral are sufficiently ~~fr~~ frightened by the situation, this

proposal

CONFIDENTIAL

OADR

24  
EXCISE

REPRODUCED GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

CONFIDENTIAL

~~TOP SECRET - SENSITIVE~~

OAD R

-2-

proposal might be accepted. In any case it would set in train other steps along such lines -- possible SYG good offices to achieve a solution. If such proposals to remove the Cuban threat were turned down (the GA could hardly avoid ~~xxx~~ something on these lines) by Cuba or the USSR, we would be in a greatly improved political position should further steps have to be taken.

Nothing much short of such a bold proposal would do the job.

2. Military action is presumed to be underway at the outset of and parallel to the above program. They can, and probably should, go on simultaneously. "Tough" unilateral and OAS action will show we mean business while the olive branch we hold out in the UN would show we would accept a

CONFIDENTIAL

reasonable

OAD R

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

~~TOP SECRET~~ SENSITIVE

CONFIDENTIAL  
OAR

-3-

reasonable political solution.

(44), (45)

Concerning the nature of military action, a naval blockade of Cuba authorized by the OAS would be more defensible than an air strike. It would not be quite as "overt" as the latter. No one would be killed at the outset at least. It would be more "passive" and therefore more equivalent as a "retaliation" (acceptable in international law) to a "passive" act against us. It would be more likely to get OAS approval; it is doubtful that an air strike would. It would also be less apt to provoke a counter-air retaliation elsewhere, such as against bases in Turkey. It allows ~~an~~ more time for a political process to take over.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

On the other hand, of course, it would not eliminate an immediate

CONFIDENTIAL

OAR

~~TOP SECRET SENSITIVE~~

CONFIDENTIAL

OADR

-4-

immediate security threat. Its long-range application puts us directly in conflict with the USSR, whose ships we would be stopping, rather than only with Cuba. It could also ~~it~~ hasten Soviet tightening of the access noose around Berlin, although it would also give us a direct bargaining point against a noose they already have around Berlin's neck. (The noose might also be tightened as the result of an air strike.)

If any OAS action an ~~it~~ open-ended paragraph should be sought under which we could take other military action if necessary.

Further consideration, weighed in the light of broad hemispheric considerations, might also be given to the proposal for a Caribbean defense treaty. The impression of serious action this gives would be valuable ~~psychologically~~ psychologically

CONFIDENTIAL

OADR

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

~~TOP SECRET - SENSITIVE~~

CONFIDENTIAL  
OADR

-5-

psychologically, while its long-range application might create opportunities for multi-lateral military support to prevent further Cubas in the weak countries of Central America.

3. The OAS might also be asked to invoke as a binding obligation all the measures short of "enforcement action" of the Security Council, i.e. the measures of Art. 41 of the Charter: complete interruption of economic relations and of rail, sea, air, postal, telegraphic, radio and other means of ~~communication~~ communication and the severance of diplomatic relations. (These actions -- as LA's interpret the UN Charter -- can be taken even in the absence of aggression and without SC approval.)

/ If the political and military situations do not require more far reaching action and action along this line

only were taken, subsequent tactical ideas would be different

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

CONFIDENTIAL  
OADR

~~TOP SECRET SENSITIVE~~

CONFIDENTIAL  
O A D R

-6-

different. We would not have to go to the SC but could wait for an OAS report; we would also not need the big political program.<sup>7</sup>

CONFIDENTIAL  
O A D R

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE